

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/824,933	C	4/03/2001	Ming-Ren Lin	F0556 1551	
45305	7590	10/04/2004		EXAMINER	
RENNER,	OTTO, B	OISSELLE & SK	NGUYEN, KHIEM D		
1621 EUCLI CLEVELAN		19TH FLOOR 14115-2191		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
CELVEEA	ib, oii ¬	14115-2171		2823	

DATE MAILED: 10/04/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

i	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/824,933	LIN, MING-REN	
That is a second of the second	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Khiem D Nguyen	2823	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addi	ress
THE REPLY FILED 20 September 2004 FAILS TO PLA Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (*condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appetexamination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applice I) a timely filed amendment whi	cation. A proper rep ch places the applic	oly to a cation in
	PLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires <u>4</u> months from the mailing date of			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. S	ee MPEP
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dathave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three moterned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	sion and the corresponding amount of the	fee. The appropriate extended the final Office action: or (ension fee under (2) as set forth in
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF	s Brief must be filed within the p R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal o	period set forth in of the appeal.	
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:		
(a) they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note be	• •		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or s	implifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cancel	ing a corresponding number of	finally rejected clain	ns.
NOTE:			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject			
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 			
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request fo application in condition for allowance because: See	r reconsideration has been cons e Continuation Sheet.	sidered but does NC	OT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	re newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			•
Claim(s) allowed: <u>1,2,5-9,11-15 and 21-24</u> .			
Claim(s) objected to: 3,4,10 and 25.			
Claim(s) rejected: none.			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: none.			
8.☐ The drawing correction filed on is a)☐ app	roved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.	
9. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	·	
10. Other:	,	W. DAVID COLE	MAN
		PRIMARY EXAM	INER

•

Application No.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: In response to Applicant's contention that the term "dopant" is not used as something added to another material such as silicon to change its electrical conductivity or other electrical properties. Rather, as is clear from a reading of Applicant's specification, the term "dopant" is used in the more general sense of a material added in small or trace amounts to alter or to obtain desired properties of the material. Specifically, the Applicant stated that the dopant is a material added to a substrate to create the disclosed and claimed gettering sites, Examiner respectfully disagrees. Though, dopant ions such as phosphorus, arsenic, antimony, bismuth, boron, aluminum, gallium, indium, and germanium are considered as dopant materials in semiconductor devices. Ideal gases such as helium, neon, argon, krypton and xenon are not considered as dopant materials in semiconductor devices. These ideal gases, when doped with another material do not change electrical conductivity or other electrical properties of the material. Applicant's attachment submitted on September 20th, 2004 does not provide sufficient evidence to show that ideal gases such as "helium, neon, argon, krypton, and xenon" could be used as the dopant ions as cited in the Applicant's claimed invention. The definition of the word "dope" only shows example of boron or arsenic could be used as the dopant ions. Thus claims 3, 10, and 25 remain objected in this application, correction and omission of these ideal gases are respectfully required..